



- 
1. Show  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow q$
  2. 

$p \wedge q$	ass cd
$q$	2 s
	2 3 cd
  3. 

$q$	2 s
	2 3 cd
  4. 

	2 3 cd
--	--------

The empty last line indicates that the box was closed by the rule of conditional derivation applied to lines 2 and 3.

A proof can contain several subproofs, and subsubproofs within subproofs. Different subproofs are isolated from one another: if you've introduced an assumption  $A$  in one subproof, you can't draw on  $A$  in another subproof, except if the second subproof is embedded in the first. Sentences from a higher-up level may be imported into a subproof, by the rule of "repetition".

You can find a complete description of this proof method, with all its rules, in Terence Parson's *Exposition of Symbolic Logic*, which is freely available at [sites.google.com/site/tparsons5555/home/logic-text](https://sites.google.com/site/tparsons5555/home/logic-text).

The method is easily extended to a range of modal logics. To reflect the duality of the box and the diamond, we need to add a "modal negation" rule  $mn$ . It is actually four rules:

$$mn: \quad \neg \Box \neg A \therefore \Diamond A \quad \neg \Diamond \neg A \therefore \Box A \quad \neg \Box A \therefore \Diamond \neg A \quad \neg \Diamond A \therefore \Box \neg A$$

The three dots ' $\therefore$ ' indicate that any instance of the schema on the right can be inferred from the corresponding instance of the schema on the left. So ' $\neg \Box \neg A \therefore \Diamond A$ ' states that one may infer, say,  $\Diamond(p \rightarrow \Box p)$  from  $\neg \Box \neg(p \rightarrow \Box p)$ .

We also need a new type of derivation,  $sd$  (for "strict derivation"), to derive sentence of the form  $\Box A$ . Strict derivations use a special kind of subproof that starts with no assumption. Intuitively, the subproof takes you to an arbitrary new world that is accessible from a world at which the sentences you have previously proved (or assumed) are true. Your goal is to prove that  $A$  holds at this world. If that is done, the subproof can be closed and  $\Box A$  has been shown. In this kind of subproof, you are not allowed to import sentences from outside the subproof by the repetition rule. Instead, you have to use a *modal importation rule*.

The basic importation rule,  $im$ , says that if some boxed sentence  $\Box A$  has been established on a higher-up level in a proof, then you may assume the corresponding sentence  $A$  inside a strict derivation.

---

Here is a proof of  $(\Box p \wedge \Box q) \rightarrow \Box(p \wedge q)$ , using these resources.

1.	Show $(\Box p \wedge \Box q) \rightarrow \Box(p \wedge q)$	
2.	$\Box p \wedge \Box q$	ass cd
3.	$\Box p$	2, s
4.	$\Box q$	2, s
5.	Show $\Box(p \wedge q)$	
6.	$p$	3, im
7.	$q$	4, im
8.	$p \wedge q$	6, 7, adj
9.		8, sd
10.		2, 5, cd

On line 6, the modal importation rule *im* is used to import assumption  $p$ , based on assumption  $\Box p$  on line 3 (which is on a higher-up level in the proof). Similarly for  $q$  on line 7. Line 9 indicates that since  $p \wedge q$  could be derived for an arbitrary accessible world, we can infer  $\Box(p \wedge q)$ , by *strict derivation*.

These rules suffice to prove every K-valid sentence. For stronger systems of modal logic, we need further rules.

For example, for the system T we would add the rule

$$ni: \quad \Box A \therefore A.$$

For system D, we would instead add

$$bd: \quad \Box A \therefore \Diamond A.$$

For K4, we need another modal importation rule. This rule, *im4*, allows you to import sentences of type  $\Box A$  unchanged into a strict derivation. The rule is used in the following proof of  $\Box p \rightarrow \Box \Box p$ .

- 
1. Show  $\Box p \rightarrow \Box\Box p$
  2. 

$\Box p$	ass cd
----------	--------
  3. Show  $\Box\Box p$
  4. 

$\Box p$	2, im4
----------	--------
  5. 

	4, sd
--	-------
  6. 

	2, 5, cd
--	----------

K5 requires a similar modal repetition rule, *im5*. This one allows you to import sentences of type  $\Diamond A$  unchanged into strict derivations.

If both *ni* and *im4* are added to the natural deduction rules for K, we get a natural deduction system for S4. *ni* and *im5* together yield a natural deduction system for S5. For S4.2, yet another rule, *img*, is needed, which allows importing sentences of type  $\Diamond\Box A$  unchanged into strict derivations.